Understanding Dirty Data: Comparing MTBR, MTBF, and MTBPM

hen I was first asked to define MTBF, MTBR, and MTBPM, I wasn't sure why. Of all the myriad reliability metrics employed, I had to ask myself, "Why were these singled out?" It wasn't until I ran across the following definition from PIP did I understand:

Process Industry Practices (PIP) defines Mean Time Between Repairs as: "The most common measure of operat-

Reliability theory tends to deal with failure data, while maintenance personnel deal with maintenance events. Failures and maintenance events are not the same thing at all.

ing reliability typically stated as the average operating calendar time between required repairs for a particular piece of machinery, type of machinery, class of machinery, operating unit or plant. MTBR is not Mean Time Between: (a) Failures, (b) Planned Maintenance, or (c) any other categorization of shutdowns. MTBR calculations include Repairs due to (a) Failures, (b) Planned Maintenance, or (c) any other categorization of Repair events."

I was surprised to find all three reliability metrics of interest mentioned here. As I thought more about this PIP definition, I began to realize why these metrics are so important and why they need to be better understood.

Dealing with Dirty Data

Before I define the reliability terms in question, I want to provide some perspective on the person that the PIP standard was written for: maintenance personnel. I have worked in maintenance organizations for over 20 years, so I feel somewhat qualified to present the maintenance perspective on maintenance data analysis.

Let's first consider a hypothetical pump timeline (see Figure 1).

We can see that this timeline is composed of various event

types, i.e. failures, repairs, and PM events. Ideally, we would like to know how long a new or refurbished pump lasts before it fails. But there is always a trade-off between theory and practice. In reality, you are usually only able to determine the average or mean between time between failures or repairs. Reliability theory tends to deal

with failure data, while maintenance organizations deal with maintenance events. "But aren't failures and maintenance events the same thing?" you ask. My response is, "Not at all." Maintenance events fall to many categories, such as:

- Repairs to restore pumps to serviceable conditions
- Regular internal pump inspections
- Preventative maintenance events, such as oil changeouts
- Predictive maintenance events, such as data collections
- Preemptive repairs that are done before a pump actually fails
- Maintenance activities that are not associated with a pump but are credited to a pump's functional location due to the proximity of the work

Only the first category actually pertains to a known pump failure. It should be noted that the second category is





deemed to be a repair by PIP if the inspection uncovers a failed or failing component.

To make matters more complicated, defining failures in real world environments can sometimes be challenging. If you are running tests on light bulbs, it's easy to know when failure occurs. However, here are a few examples demonstrating the difficulties in determining what is and what is not a failure:

- 1. You discover a seal has a one drip per hour leak. Is this a seal failure? When did it start leaking?
- 2. During a planned pump inspection, you find the impeller has lost 50 percent of its thickness. Is it a failure? If so, when did it pass the threshold from acceptable to unacceptable?
- 3. A pump vibration levels jump from .11-ips to 0.25-ips from one pump inspection to the next. Management wants to repair the pump before things get worse. Is this a failure? When do you say it failed? Can you say it's 80 percent failed when it was removed?

One thing maintenance folks (and accountants) know for certain is when they have performed maintenance on a pump. In addition, they store their maintenance data to the point of information overload. Ask any maintenance engineer or specialist for pump maintenance data and he or she will present you with reams of it. The problem is that it's usually in a form we call "dirty data." *Dirty data* is an aggregate of predictive maintenance, preventative maintenance, repair and extraneous data that must be carefully culled before it is usable.

Let's look at some sample pump data in Table 1.

We have run a hypothetical report of completed work orders for Pump 101 over a 15-month period. Over that time, we see there have been 14 completed work orders (a *completed* work order is any work order that has been created and closed). Does this mean we have experienced 14 failures or have completed 14 repairs? Certainly not.

Any experienced maintenance person can look at Table 1 and determine which work orders represented real repairs, which ones were preventative or predictive maintenance activities, and those that were unrelated to the pump, such as the leaking suction valve. (By the way, the lack of details in Table 1 is typical of real-world data. We never have all the details required to make a fully-informed decision on the true nature of maintenance events.)

Note that I have highlighted two work orders in green that I believe represent actual repairs. So, instead of 14 repairs we really only have 2 repairs required to return this pump to operative condition. In summary, we can state that maintenance organizations:

- Have plenty of "dirty" work order data at their disposal.
- Don't always know when a pump has failed or when it would have failed if it is removed from service prematurely.
- Must subjectively cull their data to arrive at a usable listing of repair data.

The Definitions

Now that we better understand the data analysis perspective of maintenance organizations, let's talk about the three definitions of MTBF, MTBR, and MTBPM.

MTBF (Mean Time Between Failures): The mean number of life units during which all parts of the item perform within their specified limits, during a particular measurements interval under stated conditions. When we say "all parts of the item perform within specified limits," we mean to say that on average, no parts fail until the end of the mean life. The following equation is used to determine MTBF:

MTBF = N/F

where N is the number of machines in the populations and F are the number of failures in the measurement period.

MTBR (Mean Time Between Repairs): The mean number of life units between repair activities required to bring all parts of the item back to within their specified limits, during a particular measurements interval under stated conditions. MTBR is similar to MTBF, but uses repair events instead of failure events. The following equation is used to determine MTBR:

Completed Work Order #	Date	Work Order Description	Notes	Total Cost	Repair?
1	5/26/2004	Replace leaking seal		0	No
		Repair leaking suction			
2	6/3/2004	valve	Valve replaced	1200	No
3	6/15/2004	PM – Change oil		500	No
4	9/18/2004	Replace oil leak	Oil leak stopped	0	No
5	9/27/2004	Replace leaking seal	Seal replaced	2,440.00	Yes
6	12/14/2004	Leaking gasket		400.16	No
7	10/29/2004	Bearing running hot	Temperature OK	512.08	No
		Electric motor making			
8	12/20/2004	noise		0	No
9	4/5/2005	Check vibration		511.06	No
10	6/15/2005	Replace leaking seal	Leak stopped	440.6	No
11	6/1/2005	PM – Check performance		176.24	No
12	7/13/2005	Pump won't start	Wear rings were replaced	4,525.00	Yes
		Replace discharge			
13	7/20/2005	pressure gage		120	No
14	8/1/2005	Replace leaking seal		0	No

 $\mathbf{MTBR} = \mathbf{N/R}$

Table 1

Beyond the Flanges

where N is the number of machines in the populations and R are the number of repairs in the measurement period.

MTBPM (Mean Time Between Planned Maintenance): The mean number of life units between planned maintenance activities, during a particular measurement interval under stated conditions. Planned maintenance activities only count as failures or repairs if work is required to restore the component. Here are a few examples of planned maintenance activities that are not considered repairs:

- 1. Oil replacement
- 2. Packing adjustment
- 3. Work planned and then cancelled

4. Periodic internal pump inspections due to known corrosion or erosion concerns

- 5. Periodic alignment checks due to foundation settling
- 6. Journal bearing inspection due to contamination concerns

Of these three metrics, MTBR is probably the most widely used for evaluating pump reliability, despite the following limitations and caveats:

- It includes the mean pump life along with the mean time for the organization to identify, plan, and repair the pump, which tends to inflate the value of MTBR.
- The MTBR metric is an amalgamation of repair data for all pumps, running and idle, that are included in the population. This also tends to greatly increase its value compared to the true mean time to failure. (Some will argue that even idle pumps are subject to failure.)
- As stated before, the repair data supplied for the calculation is subject to interpretation, therefore it is prone to errors and inconsistency.
- Wide fluctuations in the MTBR values can occur in small pump populations or when short reporting periods are used, due to the significant effect of small variations in repair numbers used in the calculations. This situation may require a 6-month or 12month rolling average to smooth out these fluctuations. (I welcome statisticians to offer their recommendations on the minimum number of repairs or timeframe required for a given pump population to obtain a reliable MTBR value.)

While MTBR does not equate to the true pump failure rate, it can be trended to determine if progress is being

circle 134 on card or go to psfreeinfo.com

Sealing Equipment Products Co., Inc.

800.633.4770 - www.sepcoUSA.com

GFO® is a registered trademark of W. L. Gore and Associates.





SEPCO[®] CAN SAVE YOU MORE THAN OUR PRODUCTS COST. See how to reduce your water and energy

consumption, increase equipment

reliability and improve your

product yield with SEPCO®'s

high performance sealing

solutions. For your

business and your

own peace of

mind, check out

SEPCO[®].

More Pumps, More Materials, More Confidence

FRIATEC offers a complete line of horizontal and vertical centrifugal pumps and liquid ring vacuum pumps of metallic and non-metallic construction.



For more information call us toll free: 866-869-0880 or visit us online at www.friatec-rheinhutte.com • www.rheinhutte.de

Specialty Pumps for Industry:

- · Corrosive & abrasive applications
- Sulfuric acid (all concentrations and temps)
- Molten sulfur and molten salt
- · Acids, caustics, bleaches
- Waste waters
- · Pickling lines in steel/metal industry
- Ceramic vacuum pumps for corrosive gases



FRIATEC - Rheinhutte Pumps & Valves, LLC • Odessa, FL • email: info@friatecna.com

Beyond the Flanges

made and how shop resources are being impacted due to pump repairs. PIP recommends MTBF metrics to be calculated for different classes of pumps, such as hot pumps, reciprocating pumps, etc. as a means of improving its usefulness.

Working with External Suppliers

Machinery reliability metrics have been receiving increasing



attention recently because of the growing interest in improving operating plant profits through reliability improvement programs. This first began internally, but has extended outside the process plant confines. Now external suppliers are offering reliability improvement programs at a fixed annual cost. I am aware of several mechanical seal programs that have delivered on their promises to improve plantwide reliability at a fixed

fee.

For these types of programs to be successful, participating operating plants must be willing and able to provide meaningful reliability metrics to external suppliers of seals, new pumps, pump repairs, etc. To my knowledge, pump MTBR calculations are the only *practical* means available to track machinery performance in a plant environment.

As key players in reliability joint ventures, external suppliers need to understand the limitations of the maintenance data collection process and the inherent inaccuracies in the MTBR value provided to them. While this metric may be considered by some to be flawed and somewhat unscientific, if calculated consistently, it can provide a reasonable benchmark for gauging reliability gains.

Both pump owners and external suppliers need to continue working together to refine the PIP MTBR standard so that it is better understood and becomes widely accepted. The present standard is not perfect, but it's a good start!

P&S

Robert X. Perez, the website editor for PumpCalcs.com, has over 25 years of rotating equipment experience in the petrochemical industry, holds a BSME from Texas A&M University in College Station, a MSME degree from the University of Texas at Austin, a Texas PE license, and is an adjunct professor at Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi, teaching the Engineering Technology Rotating Equipment course. He can be reached at rxperez@pumpcalcs.com.

Series MPC Jr. Pump Controller

The Mercoid MPC Junior is a low cost alternating pump control perfect for less demanding applications where all of the features of the MPC are not needed. Pump control is easy with the MPCJR, just connect your process transmitter and program the pump on/off points in the scale range desired. The Junior features local indication, integral power supply for process transmitter, two SPDT pump control contacts, two alarm contacts, optional process retransmission, and optional Modbus® communications.

Modbus® is a registered trademark of Schnieder Automation.





▲ Series 626/628 **Industrial Pressure Transmitter**

Accuracies of 1% or 0.25% full scale. Industrial housing allows easy wiring and installation by removing top and piping conduit to the 1/2" female NPT. Optional valve depressor, as the process connection, is perfect for preventing refrigerant loss.

Series MPC Pump Controller

The Mercoid MPC Pump Controller is ideal to control, monitor, and protect pump operations. There are so many features in the MPC that you can eliminate many components from





your pump control system. The MPC is used with any process transmitter and displays the process in any user selectable scale range. Included in the MPC is seal failure monitoring for submersible pumps, pump over-temperature monitoring, pump run time meters, process simulation for test and calibration, pump alternation, process retransmission, integral process transmitter power supply, and more!



\$243.75

from

\$315.00

4 Series V₄ FLOTECT[®] Vane **Operated Flow Switch**

The W. E. Anderson V4 flow switch is ideal for flow proving in large size pipes. Never worry about leaks since the V4 features a solid bar stock body with no linkage or wearing parts that will create flow points. The switch mechanism can even be replaced without taking the body out of the process so that you don't have to shut down and drain the line. A five vane paddle allows selectability of switching point in 1-1/2" pipe size and up. The V4 is weatherproof, explosionproof, and rated to 5000 psig.



Series SBLT2 & PBLT2 Submersible Level Transmitter

Mercoid offers a complete line of submersible level transmitters. The SBLT2 is a slim bullet nose design perfect for clean water applications while the PBLT2 is made with a non-clogging diaphragm for sludge and slurries. Both units are constructed of 316 SS bodies, have lighting surge protection, and feature .25% accuracy. The PBLT2 also features a stand off plate so that it can be dropped into a lift station without suspending it on the cable.



from \$164.00

from

Series UV Ultra-View[™] **Polysulfone Flowmeter**

The UV is a robust industrial flow meter with laboratory grade accuracy and wetted parts. The +/-2% full scale accuracy UV contains no metal wetted parts, making it ideal for usage with chemicals compatible with Polysulfone, Fluoroelastomer and PTFE. Dual scales in a variety of ranges with high temperature and pressure ratings makes the UV the perfect flowmeter for ultra-pure water or chemical applications in water treatment plants.

circle 103 on card or go to psfreeinfo.com

